From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	PCT			
TO: FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. Attn. Romine, Stephen L. 225 Franklin Street Boston, Massachusetts 02110-2804 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA DEC 0 7 200 FISH & RICHARDSON	4 DEC - 9 2004			
FISH & RICHARDS) BOSTON OFFI	Date of mailing (day/month/year) 06/12/2004			
Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below			
04373-033W01				
International application No. PCT/US2004/022019	International filing date (day/month/year) 08/07/2004			
Applicant	08/07/2004			
MIJA INDUSTRIES, INC.				
The applicant is hereby notified that the international search Authority have been established and are transmitted herewit Filling of amendments and statement under Article 19: The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claim.	h. s of the International Application (see Rule 46):			
	tetails, see the notes on the companying shellling Secretary			
Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO, 34 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Far For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the according to the second seco	mpanying sheet. Deadline:			
2. The applicant is hereby notified that no international search report will be established lead that the declaration under. Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the international Searching Authority are transmitted herewith.				
3. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) addition	nal fee(s) under Ruie 40.2, the applicant is notified that:			
the protest together with the decision thereon has beer applicant's request to forward the texts of both the prot no decision has been made yet on the protest; the app				
4. Reminders				
Shortly after the expiration of 18 months from the priority date, the international Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone application, or of the priority claim, must reach the international Bu- before the completion of the technical preparations for internation	publication, a notice of withdrawal of the International ireau as provided in Rules 90 <i>bis</i> .1 and 90 <i>bis</i> .3, respectively,			
The applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the written opinion of the International Searching Authority to the International Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copy of such comments to all designated Offices unless an international preliminary examination report has been or is to be established. These comments would also be made available to the public but not before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date.				
Within 19 months from the priority date, but only in respect of some designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filled if the applicant withset to prospore the entry incide he allonate phase until 30 months from the priority date (in some Offices even later), otherwise, the applicant must, within 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed acts for entry into the national phase before those designated Offices.				
In respect of other designated Offices, the time limit of 30 months months.	(or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19			
See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the app Guide, Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site.	licable time limits, Office by Office, see the PCT Applicant's			
Name and mailing address of the International Searching Authority	Authorized officer			
European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-3016	Eric Walsh			

AVEIJN AV - 1-6-15 (U330VI)

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty, in case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the PoCT Applicant's Guide, a publication of WIPO.

In these Notes, "Article", "Rule", and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative instructions respectively.

INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international preliminary examination procedure, there is usually no need to file amendments of the claims under Article 19 except where, a g. the applicant wants the latter to be published for the purposes of provisional protection or has another reason for amending the claims before international publication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is variable in some States only.

What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the International phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Perliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

When?

Within 2 months from the date of transmitted of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the international Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Rius 46.11).

Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been is filed, see below.

How?

Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Administrative Instructions, Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French. the letter must be in French. The letter must be in French.

Notes to Form PCT/ISA/220 (first sheet) (January 1994)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended, it must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged;
- (ii) the claim is cancelled:
- (iii) the claim is new;
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]: "Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers; claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
- [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]: "Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."
- [Miner originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding new claims;
 Claims 1 to 5 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new dustins 15, 16 and 17 added." or
 Claims 1 to 13 cancelled, new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged.
- 4. [Where various kinds of amendments are made]: "Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13 land 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subvivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added."

"Statement under article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

It must be in the language in which the international appplication is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended, it must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of fiting any amendments under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must perferably, at the same time of fiting the amendments with the international Bureau, also file a copy of such amendments with the International Preliminary Examining Authority (see Plaule 62 2(a), farts extension.)

Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, where upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the data as amended under Article 19 may have to be turnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER	see Form PCT/ISA/220		
04373-033WO1	ACTION	as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.		
International application No.	International filing date (day/month)	/year) (Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)		
PCT/US2004/022019	08/07/2004 08/07/2003			
Applicant				
MIJA INDUSTRIES, INC.				
This International Search Report has been according to Article 18. A copy is being tra	n prepared by this International Searc	ching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant		
This International Search Report consists	of a total of 3 she	ets		
	a copy of each prior art document clt			
	international search was carried out o	on the basis of the International application in the		
The international this Authority (Ru		of a translation of the international application furnished to		
b. With regard to any nucleo	otide and/or amino acid sequence	disclosed in the international application, see Box No. I.		
2. Certain claims were fou	nd unsearchable (See Box II).			
3. Unity of invention is lac	king (see Box III).			
4. With regard to the title,				
X the text is approved as su	bmitted by the applicant.	•		
the text has been establis	shed by this Authority to read as follow	ws:		
With regard to the abstract,				
X the text is approved as su	ubmitted by the applicant,			
the text has been establis may, within one month fro	shed, according to Rule 38.2(b), by th om the date of mailing of this internati	nis Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant ional search report, submit comments to this Authority.		
With regards to the drawings,				
a. the figure of the drawings to be p	published with the abstract is Figure I	No11		
X as suggested by	the applicant.			
I = '	is Authority, because the applicant fa			
	is Authority, because this figure bette	er characterizes the invention.		
b. none of the figures is to b	e published with the abstract.			

A. CLASSII IPC 7	FICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER G08B25/12 G08B29/18 A62C37/50	
According to	D International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IP	o
	SEARCHED	
Minimum do IPC 7	ocurnentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) A62C G08B	
Documentat	ion searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such docume	ints are included in the fields searched
Electronic d	ata base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, who	ere practical, search terms used)
EPO-In	ternal, WPI Data, PAJ	
C. DOCUM	ENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	
Calegory °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passa	ges Relevant to claim No.
x	US 2003/116329 A1 (MCSHEFFREY JOHN J E AL) 26 June 2003 (2003-06-26) paragraphs '0002!, '0015!, '0018! paragraphs '0022!, '0024!, '0045! paragraphs '0053!, '0056!, '0060! paragraphs '0064!, '0067! - '0071! figures 1-3,12	Т 1-21
° Special ca *A* docume consid *E* earlier in filing consid *L* docume which	Integories of cited documents: Integories of cited documents: Int later do Integories of cited documents: Int later do Integories of cited documents: Integories of cited display the population of cited display the population of cited or calcided or or after the international Integories of cited or calcided he publication date of another Integories of cited or calcided he publication date of another Integories of cited or calcided he publication date of another Integories of cited or calcided he publication date of another Integories of cited or calcided or or calc	itent family members are listed in annex. current published after the international filing data fritty date and roll contrict with the asplication but frity date and roll contrict with the asplication but or understand the principle or theory underlying the lon for an order of the contrict of the contrict of the for contrict or current to considered to force the contrict of the contrict of the force of the contrict of the current of the force of the contrict of the force of the current of the force of the

- *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- *P* document published prior to the international fitting date but later than the priority date claimed

Date of the actual completion of the international search

29 November 2004

Name and mailing address of the ISA

ing acoress of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

- document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- "&" document member of the same patent family Date of maiting of the international search report

06/12/2004

Authorized officer

Meister, M

1

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)		Publication date
➤ US 2003116329	A1	26-06-2003	US US US WO US CA EP ES WO US US	2001025713 6302218 5848651 5775430 2004038519 2004065451 2004194980 2443773 1311323 2188435 20083244 2002029891 2001004938 1582697 9726944	B1 A A A2 A1 A1 A1 A1 T1 A1 A1 A1 A1	04-10-2001 16-10-2001 15-12-1998 07-07-1998 06-05-2004 08-04-2004 07-110-2004 24-10-2002 21-05-2003 01-07-2003 24-10-2002 14-03-2002 28-06-2001 20-08-1997 31-07-1997

- ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- ☐ Box No IV Lack of unity of invention
- Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application
- FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date. whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx; 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Authorized Officer

Meister, M

Telephone No. +31 70 340-4195



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/022019

Box No	. I Basis of the opinion
	gard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in guage in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
lan	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following guage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search ider Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
	gard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and ary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a. type	of material:
	a sequence listing
	table(s) related to the sequence listing
b. form	at of material:
	in written format
	in computer readable form
c. time	of filing/furnishing:
	contained in the international application as filed.
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
ha co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto s been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments:

2.

3.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/022019

Box No. II Priority

- The following document has not been furnished:
 - ☐ copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43*bis*.1 and 66.7(a)).
 - translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).

Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.

- 2. This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.
- 3. Additional observations, if necessary:

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1-21

1. Statement

Novelty (N) Yes: Claims
No: Claims

Inventive step (IS) Yes: Claims

No: Claims 1-21

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-21

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following document:

D1: US 2003/0116329 A1

1. Clarity (Art. 6 PCT).

Claim 1

The negative limitation in the subject-matter of claim 1 renders the claim unclear (Article 6 PCT) because it is an attempt to claim the invention by excluding what the applicant did not invent, namely an apparatus for remote inspection of fire extinguishers, rather than clearly and concisely reciting what he claims to have invented, namely an apparatus suitable for remote inspection of emergency equipment (see 5.41 in the PCT International Search and Preliminary Examination Guidelines).

Moreover, the subject-matter of claim 1 is unclear (Article 6 PCT) because not consistent with the disclosure (see 5.55 in the PCT International Search and Preliminary Examination Guidelines), due to contradictions between the elements contained in the claim, namely the negative limitation to emergency equipment other than fire extinguishers, and the description, wherein fire extinguishers are mentioned as one possible and preferred example of emergency equipment which can be remotely inspected by the claimed apparatus, see pag. 1, lin. 18-19, pag. 3, lin. 9-10, and the embodiments corresponsing to fig. 1 to 5 in the description.

2. Novelty (Art. 33 (2) PCT).

Claim 1

The document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

an apparatus for remote inspection of fire extinguishers (par. 0002; fig. 1) and suitable for remote inspection of emergency equipment other than fire extinguishers, wherein the emergency equipment is in an installed position at a

predetermined location (par. 0002; fig. 1), said apparatus comprising: a detector located at or near the emergency equipment for detection of presence of an obstruction to viewing of or access to the emergency equipment (par. 0015, 0018, 0024, 0045, 0053, 0056; fig. 1-3, 12); and

an electronic circuit in communication between the detector and a central station located remotely from the emergency equipment for issue of a signal to the central station upon detection of the obstruction to viewing of or access to the emergency equipment (par. 0018, 0045, 0053, 0056; fig. 1-3, 12).

It is pointed out, that the apparatus disclosed in D1 is suitable for the remote inspection of emergency equipment other than fire extinguishers, because it contains the same features of the claimed apparatus and it solves the same problem, namely how to permit a more frequent or even continuous inspection of emergency stations, with regard to the visibility and access to the same, at a reduced cost (par. 0021, 0024). Moreover, for those reasons, the subject-matter of claim 1 does not in any case involve any inventive step (Article 33 (3) PCT).

Insofar as it can be understood (see above, clarity), the subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore not new (Article 33 (2) PCT) because all the features of claim 1 are present in the same combination in document D1.

Claims 2-5

The subject-matter of dependent claims 2-5 is also not new (Article 33 (2) PCT) because the apparatus disclosed in D1 is suitable for the remote inspection of emergency equipments like those mentioned in claims 2-5.

Claims 6-14, 16-21

The subject-matter of dependent claims 6-14, 16-21 is also not new (Article 33 (2) PCT) because the same additional features are present in the same combination in document D1 (see the cited passages in the International Search Report).